

# Objective

Large for gestational age (LGA) babies have an increased risk of intrapartum complications including shoulder dystocia. Recent development of a new growth velocity standard integrated into the new GROW 2.0 (Fig 1)  $\rightarrow$ electronic charts has shown improved prediction of adverse outcome following slow growth. We wanted to assess the contribution that accelerated growth can make in identifying risk of shoulder dystocia.

### Methods

- The study cohort consisted of 5953 pregnancies with at least two third trimester EFW scans and included 54 deliveries (0.9%) with shoulder dystocia.
- Growth velocity was assessed by the projected optimal weight range (POWR) method <sup>1</sup>.
- We compared risk of shoulder dystocia following 1. LGA (>90th customised centile) at last scan, and 2. accelerated velocity between the last 2 scans.
- Significance was determined by relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI).

# Accelerated growth identifies non-LGA pregnancies at risk of shoulder dystocia

## Oliver Hugh, Jason Gardosi Perinatal Institute, UK



#### Results

#### Conclusion

# Poster EP.0045

The median gestational age of the last two scans was 34+0 and 37+1 weeks, respectively, and 39+2 weeks at birth.

824 (13.8%) fetuses had an LGA EFW at last scan, of which 22 had shoulder dystocia at delivery (RR 4.8; CI 2.7 – 8.4).

In 634 of the 824 LGA fetuses (76.9%) there was no accelerated growth preceding the last scan, and this group had 17 cases of shoulder dystocia (RR 4.8; CI 2.6 – 8.8).

Accelerated growth between the last two scans occurred in 481 pregnancies (8.1%) and was followed by shoulder dystocia in 10 deliveries (RR 3.7; CI 1.8 – 7.7).

• Of the 481 fetuses with accelerated growth, 291 (60.5%) were not LGA at last scan, and 5 of their deliveries was complicated by shoulder dystocia (RR 3.1; CI 1.2 – 7.9).

LGA on late third trimester ultrasound scan is associated with an increased risk of shoulder dystocia.

Accelerated growth on serial EFW scans can identify additional pregnancies at risk that were not LGA antenatally.

<sup>1.</sup> Hugh O, Gardosi J. Fetal weight projection model to define growth velocity and validation against pregnancy outcome in a cohort of serially scanned pregnancies. Ultrasound in Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2022;60(1):86–95.